PAGE 01

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 0 7 2008

Approved for use through 01/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Tredemark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE						
Under the Panarwork Ry	etuction Act of 1995, no nemon	Application Number	tection of Infar	metion unless it d	aniava a valid OMR control number.	
TRANSMITTAL			08978634			
		First Named Inventor	November 28, 1997			
FORM		Art Unit	Rabbeni			
		Examiner Name	1636			
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Shin			
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		Attorney Docket Number	ENZ53(D2)			
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply) After Allowance Communication to TC						
Fee Attach	Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final		ensing-related Papers Utton Utton to Convert to a postsional Application		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Repty Brief) Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(e) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement		Change of Correspondence Address Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CD		Other below)	Status Letter Other Enclosure(a) (ptease identify below): Substance of Interview	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT						
Firm Name						
The Law Offices of Cheryl H. Agris, Ph.D., P.C.						
Signature (Leus b. Ferri						
Printed name Cheryl H. Agris 0						
Date January 7, 2008			Reg. No. 34,086			
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on						
the date shown below: Signature						
Muga H. March						
Typed or printed name Cheryl H. Agris		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Date	Јалиаћу 7. 2008	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.6. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and authoriting the complete application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any currenetts on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s):

Rabbani et al.

Serial No.:

08/978,634

Filed:

November 25, 1997

For:

MULTIMERIC COMPLEX

COMPOSITIONS AND DELIVERY

PROCESSES USING SAME

Confirmation No.: 4640

527 Madison Avenue(9thFloor) New York, New York 10022

Group Art Unit: 1635

Exam'r: D. Shin

FILED BY FACSIMILE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

This is in response to the Interview Summary dated December 7, 2007.

REMARKS

- Brief Description of any Exhibit Shown or any Demonstration Conducted
 Annotated Figure 1 of Engelhardt et al., US Patent No. 5,288,609 ("Engelhardt")
 and Figures 22-23 of the instant application were shown.
- 2. Identification of Claims Discussed

The undersigned, Dr. Donegan and Examiners Shin and Angell primarily discussed claims 275, 283 and 295.

3. Identification of Specific Prior Art Discussed

The reference cited in the 35 USC 102 rejection and the primary reference cited in the 35 USC 103 rejection, Engelhardt was discussed. Passing reference was made to the secondary reference, Takeda et al., PNAS, 1992, 89:8180-8184 ("Takeda").

4. Identification of Principal Proposed Amendments of a Substantive Nature Discussed

Amendments to claims 275 and 283 were discussed.

5. Identification of General Thrust of Principal Arguments presented to the examiner

As noted in the Interview Summary, the undersigned and Dr. Donegan pointed out how amended claim 275 in particular can be distinguished from Engelhardt et al. As agreed, distinctions between the subject matter recited in claim 275 and Engelhardt as well as other Takeda was discussed in further detail in the response submitted on December 3, 2007. The Undersigned and Dr. Donegan also pointed out support for amended claim 275 in the specification during the Interview.

6. A General Indication of Any other Pertinent Matters Discussed

There was a brief discussion about proposed amendments to claim 283 and proposed new claims 296-301.

There was also discussion about the status of the previous Restriction Requirement in view of the proposed claim amendment 275. Examiner Angell expressed the view that the previous Restriction Requirement would not be applicable in view of the proposed claim amendment but that he would review claim 275 and the new claims.

7. General Results or Outcome of the Interview

It was agreed that Applicants would present the proposed claims, show how they can be distinguished from the prior art and additionally show support in the response to the Office Action. A response was filed on December 3, 2007. Applicants note that claim 283 has been canceled.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned if there are any questions or issues that he wishes to discuss regarding this summary, amendment submitted on December 3, 2007 or the instant application.

Respectfully submitted,

Cheryl H. Agris, Reg. No. 34,086

(914) 712-0093